

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/668,006               | 09/22/2003    | Shiying Zheng        | 85971JLT                | 1935             |
| 75                       | 90 05/02/2005 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| Paul A. Leipold          |               |                      | SCHILLING, RICHARD L    |                  |
| Patent Legal Sta         | aff           |                      |                         | ·                |
| Eastman Kodak Company    |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 343 State Street         |               |                      | 1752                    |                  |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201 |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del> </del>  | Application Ata   |
|---|---|
|   | Application No.  10/668/006 Zhengetal   |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner Group Art Unit   |
|   | 110001111111111111111111111111111111111   |
| — The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—  |
| Period for Reply  | 3   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE<br>OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  | T TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE   |
| from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def  | FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |   |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | -11-05  |
| ☐ This action is FINAL.   |   |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc<br>accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,   | ept for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.   |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |
| PClaim(s)   | is/are pending in the application.  |
| Of the above claim(s)   | is/are withdrawn from consideration   |
| Claim(s) 1, 6 − 8   | is/are allowed.   |
| Claim(s) $\frac{1,6-8}{9-11,\frac{13}{2}}$  | is/are rejected.  |
| □-CTaim(s) 12,14,16-20,23   | is/are objected to.   |
| □ Claim(s)  |   |
| Application Papers  | requirement.  |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  | wing Review, PTO-948.   |
|   |   |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on   | is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.  |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of   |   |
|   |   |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of   | ejected to by the Examiner.   |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   | ejected to by the Examiner.   |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of</li></ul>  | r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).   |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies</li> </ul> | r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>  | r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>  | r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>  | r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>  | r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   |
| <ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>  | r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been  mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).   |

the state of the s

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

ing Control of the State of th

Art Unit 1752

Claims 9-11, 13, 15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Quinn for the same reasons as set forth in item No. 1 of the first Office action filed February 4, 2005. Applicants' argument that the elements in Quinn are positive working and have at least two layers necessary for imaging while the instant claims are negative working and have a single layer necessary for imaging is unconvincing. The instant claims require a single heat sensitive imaging layer but do not exclude the presence of other layers. The elements in Quinn, with the photopolymerizable layers, comprise supports, inherently single heat sensitive layers comprising bisulfite adducts and photopolymerizable layers which elements would be negative working if imagewise exposed to heat instead of light. Also, the elements disclosed in Quinn include elements comprising supports and layers comprising bisulfite adducts and carbon black prior to coating the photopolymerizable layers. The bisulfite layers in Quinn are coated on supports and then dried prior to coating the photopolymerizable layers (see particularly column 7, lines 3-7; Examples 1, 3, 7, 8, 9). While the inherently heat sensitive elements in Quinn are intended to be overcoated with photopolymerizable layers instead of being thermally imaged, they still fully meet the material requirements of the heat sensitive

Serial No. 10/668,006

Art Unit 1752

imaging elements of the instant claims.

- 2. Claims 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. The terms  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$ , M and n are undefined.
- 3. Claims 12, 14, 16-20 and 23 are objected to as depending on rejected claims but would be allowable if written in proper independent form.
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. \$ 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE

Art Unit 1752

MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Schilling at telephone number (571) 272-1335.

RLSchilling:cdc April 28, 2005

RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100 L750